

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.iispto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/050,291	01/16/2002	Ronald J. Wolf	WOR0001.CIP	9107
7	590 10/17/2002			
Todd T. Taylor TAYLOR & AUST, P.C. 142 S. Main St.			EXAMINER	
			STRECKER,	GERARD R
P.O. Box 560 Avilla, IN 467	710		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		2862	
			DATE MAILED: 10/17/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



M

Application No. 10/050,29/	Applicant(s

WOLF

Office Action Summary	Examiner Group Art Unit G. R. STRECKER 2862
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address —
P riod for Reply	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET T OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	O EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defau - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta	1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. It, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. tute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). illing date of this communication, even if timely, may reduce any earned patent
Status	
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>
☐ This action is FINAL.	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance excep accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 193	for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in 5.C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
\(\text{Claim(s)} \(\frac{1-77}{2} \)	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
☐ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————	is/are allowed.
□ Claim(s)	is/are rejected.
☐ Claim(s)	•
	are subject to restriction or election
Application Papers	requirement
Application Papers ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	requirement is □ approved □ disapproved.
Application Papers The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objection.	requirement is □ approved □ disapproved.
Application Papers ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.	requirement is □ approved □ disapproved.
Application Papers ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	requirement is □ approved □ disapproved.
Application Papers The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d)	requirement is □ approved □ disapproved. sted to by the Examiner
Application Papers ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	requirement is □ approved □ disapproved. sted to by the Examiner
Application Papers ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the:	requirement is approved disapproved. sted to by the Examiner under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d).
Application Papers The proposed drawing correction, filed on	requirement is approved disapproved. sted to by the Examiner under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d).
Application Papers ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	requirement is approved disapproved. sted to by the Examiner under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). eceived. eceived in Application No
Application Papers The proposed drawing correction, filed on	requirement is approved disapproved. sted to by the Examiner under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). ecceived. ecceived in Application No s have been received
Application Papers ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	requirement is approved disapproved. sted to by the Examiner under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). eceived. eceived in Application No s have been received I Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))
Application Papers ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	requirement is approved disapproved. sted to by the Examiner under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). eceived. eceived in Application No s have been received I Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))
Application Papers ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	requirement is paperoved disapproved. sted to by the Examiner under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). eceived. eceived in Application No. s have been received I Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))
The proposed drawing correction, filed on	requirement is approved disapproved. sted to by the Examiner under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). ecceived. ecceived in Application No. s have been received I Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))
Application Papers ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	requirement is approved disapproved. sted to by the Examiner under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). ecceived. ecceived in Application No s have been received Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)) o(s) Int rview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-15

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

Part of Paper No. ______

Application/Control Number: 10/050,291 Page 2

Art Unit: 2862

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

I. Figs. 1-3, 6, 7 and 11-26;

II. Figs. 8 and 9;

III. Figs. 27-33;

IV. Fig. 34;

V. Figs. 36-41.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-3 appear to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to

Application/Control Number: 10/050,291

Art Unit: 2862

be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission

may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to G. R. Strecker at

telephone number (703) 305-4937.

G.R. Strecker/mm

10/16/02

Page 3